NARSTO Emission Inventory Workshop

Þ

October 14-17, Austin, Texas

Development of the API Compendium for Estimating Greenhouse Gas Emissions



Karin Ritter, *API* Susann Nordrum, *ChevronTexaco* Theresa Shires, *URS*

Background

In response to continued interest by its member companies about consistency in greenhouse gas emissions estimation, the American Petroleum Institute (API) developed a *Compendium* of *Greenhouse* Gas Emissions Estimation Methodologies for the Oil and Gas Industry (API, 2001). Initially distributed in April 2001, the Compendium is a result of more than a year long effort by API to screen, evaluate and document a range of calculation techniques and emission factors that could be useful for developing greenhouse gas emissions inventories.





Introduction



In developing the Compendium, API reached out to sibling organizations and reviewed their guidance documents, along with emerging national and international protocols and internal company GHG estimation protocols. This paper provides a brief overview of the Compendium development and introduces the technical approach and techniques for estimating carbon dioxide (CO_2) and methane (CH_4) emissions. It also discusses the process undertaken to compare and reconcile different estimation methodologies used by other organizations.

Through this work, API is expanding the dialogue among the global oil and gas industry and pursuing consistency in GHG emissions estimation to ensure comparability and the eventual fungibility of emission reductions. Findings from the pilot phase distribution of the Compendium are addressed in this paper, as well as enhancements planned for the 2003 update of the document. 3

Overview of API Compendium

Þ

- Issued in April 2001 for "road-testing" and "learning by doing"
- Main Attributes include:
 - Consistent, standardized methodologies
 - Compilation of recognized estimation approaches
 - Focussed on carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) emissions
 - Combustion sources have broad industry application
 - Non-combustion sources specific to oil and natural gas industry
- Revised Compendium available by end of 2003.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions Methodology Project

Objectives –

- Provide technical expertise on current methodologies and investigate improvements and streamlining options
- Promote dissemination of consistent guidance for estimation of petroleum companies GHG emissions
- Mandate
 - Focus on Industry Sources and Emissions
 - Concentrate mainly on CO₂ and CH₄
- Structure
 - Multi-sector petroleum industry participation to ensure coordinated industry effort

Methodology Approach

Þ

Emission sources

- By source category
 - Combustion emissions
 - Process emissions
 - Fugitive emissions

- By industry segment
 - Exploration and Production
 - Transportation and Distribution
 - Refining
- Preferred vs. alternative methods -
 - Decision trees to guide method selection
 - Sample calculations and Case Studies
- Data assumptions
 - Standard gas conditions
 - SI units and units common to US practices
 - Unit conversion factors

Typical Methods Hierarchy



Continuous emission monitoring

General Findings



- CO₂ sources easier to generalize based on fuel carbon content and other properties -
 - Uncertainty range of 5-15% if estimate is based on heating values rather than carbon content
 - Additional errors may be introduced in fuel volumes data and in definitions of standard conditions
- CH₄ estimates more complex -
 - Device specific and can vary with operating practices
 - Requires knowledge of specific emission sources
- Techniques presented have broader application to many other industries
 - Particularly for combustion emissions

Consistency and Comparability in Emission Estimates



Need for Consistency -

- Data aggregation,
- Performance evaluation, and
- Identification of trends



Elements of

Comparability -

- Estimation approaches,
- Organizational boundaries,
- Emission sources included, and
- Data presentation and report approach

GHG Protocols Included in Quantitative Comparison



- Australian Petroleum Production and Exploration Association Greenhouse Challenge Report (APPEA, 2000)
- Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers, Global Climate Change Voluntary Challenge Guide (CAPP, 2000)
- Canadian Industrial Energy End-Use Data and Analysis Centre, "Guide for the Consumption of Energy Survey" (CIEEDAC, 2000)
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Emission Inventory Improvement Program (EIIP, 1999)



GHG Protocols Included in Quantitative Comparison



- Exploration and Production Forum, Methods for Estimating Atmospheric Emissions from E&P Operations (E&P Forum, 1994)
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (IPCC, 1997; UNECE/EMEP, 1999; IPCC, 2001)
- Regional Association of Oil and Natural Gas Companies in Latin America and the Caribbean, Atmospheric Emissions Inventories Methodologies in the Petroleum Industry (ARPEL, 1998)
- World Resources Institute and World Business Council for Sustainable Development, The Greenhouse Gas Protocol (WRI/WBCSD, 2001)

Emission Factors Comparison

- Qualitative comparison
 - Identify scope, emission sources, and application of emission factors
 - Determine root sources of the emission factors
 - Ensure that references are current
 - Ascertain transparency and documentation of derived emission factors
- Examine variability among



Combustion Emission Factor Comparison



Tonnes CO₂/MMBTU (HHV)

| Fuel Types | Compendium | AGO | IPCC | DEFRA | WRI/ WBCSD | CIEEDAC |
|-------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|---------------|---------|
| Aviation Gas | 0.0692 | 0.0717 | | 0.0703 | 0.0693 | |
| Bitumen | 0.081 | 0.0851 | 0.0808 | 0.0879 | 0.0931 | |
| Crude Oil | 0.0743 | | 0.0734 | 0.0703 | | |
| Distillate | 0.0732 | 0.0718 | | 0.0703 | 0.0732 | 0.0750 |
| Diesel | 0.0742 | 0.0735 | 0.0742 | 0.0732 | 0.0732 | |
| Gasoline | 0.0712 | | 0.0694 | 0.0703 | 0.0710 | |
| LPG | 0.0629 | 0.0626 | 0.0632 | 0.0615 | 0.0631 | |
| Natural Gas | 0.0531 | 0.0542 | 0.0532 | 0.0556 | 0.0531 | 0.0520 |
| Petroleum Coke | 0.102 | 0.1260 | 0.1010 | 0.0879 | 0.1021 | 0.0987 |
| Residual | 0.0788 | 0.0718 | 0.0775 | 0.0703 | 0.0789 | 13 |

Emission Factors Comparison

Quantitative comparison

- 6 hypothetical facilities provided in case studies in the Compendium
 - Onshore oil field with high CO₂ content
 - Offshore production platform
 - Production gathering compressor station
 - Natural gas processing facility
 - Marketing terminal
 - Refinery
- Emissions were estimated using methodologies and/or emission factors from each protocol.



Comparative Emissions Estimate -Onshore Facility - CH4



Protocol

Onshore Oil Production Facility

High CO₂ Content in Associated Gas

Comparative Emissions Estimate -Onshore Facility - CO2



Onshore Oil Production Facility

High CO₂ Content in Associated Gas

Comparative Emissions Estimate -Refinery - CH4



Protocol

Comparative Emissions Estimate -Refinery - CO2



Protocol

Key Findings from Emission Factors Comparison - Combustion

- Variability of fuel-based factors due to different fuel specifications
 - For high quality results need fuel specific data (e.g. composition, heating value, density, etc.)
- Need to specify heating value convention
- Variation in CH4 emissions due to different versions of EPA's AP-42
 - ✓ Not significant for CO₂

Key Findings from Emission Factors Comparison - Non-combustion

- ARPEL quantified several sources not included in the Compendium
- Combining sources into one or two EFs makes it difficult to determine what sources are included
- Not all emission sources are addressed across protocols



Outreach Efforts and Special Studies

- Dialogue among oil and gas associations worldwide
- Discussions with other protocols developers
- Protocols comparisons and emissions reduction studies
- New initiative for Global GHG Reporting Guidelines



Attaining global consistency will ensure national and regional comparability in estimation techniques and the eventual fungibility of emission reduction credits among those nations with comparable crediting or trading regimes.

Conclusions



- Robust methods for calculating, reporting, and tracking emissions are essential for costeffectively managing GHG emissions
- Consistent methodologies lend credibility to the estimates and enable aggregation and comparison
- Initial "road testing" of the API Compendium and special studies undertaken further support the pursuit of consistency
- These activities have spurred new initiatives to progress toward harmonization of methodologies and improved global compatibility emission estimates